Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/700,492	OLIVER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Lambertson	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) Mary J. Wilson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 April 2004	Time: <u>2pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Enablement rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, stra	ain deposit.	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
	· ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	ant/Applicant's Representativ	/e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a potential amendment to claim 35 to obviate the Enablement rejection. It was proposed that the claim indicate the genotype of the strain (as set forth in Table 1 of the specification) in place of the specific strain name, which would otherwise necessarily require the public availability of those strains in order to practice the invention. Without conceding the correctness of the Office's position and for the sole purpose of furthering prosecution of the application, the proposed amendment was approved by Applicant's representative on April 13, 2004, and is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amendment. In addition, cancellation of claims 15-34 was apporved, and the Abstract was inserted as indicated.